

[REDACTED]

From: Sarah Harper [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 June 2026 00:06
To: Botley West Solar Farm
Subject: RVAA Botley West Solar Factory - Church Hanborough and MAP ERRORS
Attachments: image0.png

Categories: SoS Consultation 2 Response

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]

Dear Planning Inspector

Long Down Cottage, Church Hanborough. 07776252833

We have just been alerted to the fact that **we are not included in the updated RVAA of Church Hanborough.**

"The Applicant has updated the RVAA alongside this Rule 17 responses to address the specific concerns raised.

Church Hanborough Of the 14 properties identified within the 250m limits in Figure 1.19, only three properties (The Paddock, The Stables and New Barn Farmhouse) have potential views of solar panels from within their curtilage, as shown on the ZTV, Figure 1.19 of REP6-064. These properties are captured in Tables 2 and 4 of the updated RVAA".

[REDACTED] (adjacent to The Paddocks in the list) will have clear views of the solar panels. We were never contacted about this.

See Photo of our garden adjacent to proposed sites and our current view over the land.



Unlike The Paddocks on which you do report, we are completely open to the view both from our house and from our garden.

Additionally on the attached MAP - sections of **both the garden of [REDACTED] and of [REDACTED]** **[REDACTED] is marked in DARK GREEN and labelled - "Landscaping"**. This was raised at the public consultation of materials in Woodstock, the planners there looked at the registered land with us at the time and agreed that it was in error. However no change has been made.

The RED BOUNDARY line covers another portion of our garden. This is fenced off from the main field and if a site inspection had ben made would have been clear. Thus a small section of our land appears **INSIDE** the boundary under consideration.

The field adjacent to our house (seen in the photo above) was requested by us to be kept as a wild ecosystem. It is full of deer, foxes, and hares. We understand that you are considering a "community garden space". Allotments? This was put to the village of Church Hanborough. Of the 55 responses we understand 54 said NO.

Given that

1. We have been ignored for RVAA - despite major impact
2. Part of our garden is earmarked for landscaping - despite our already correcting this.
3. Part of our garden appears inside your boundary area

Why have we not been contacted an a site visit arranged?

Sincerely.

Sarah Harper

Professor Sarah Harper CBE FMedSci FRAI

